## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

NELS RIETH, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 05-C-0513

DAVE BURTON,

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

On May 9, 2005, Nels Rieth, Jr. filed this petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, asserting that his state court conviction and sentence were imposed in violation of the Constitution. Petitioner was convicted in Milwaukee County Circuit Court of arson with the intent to defraud and theft by false representation. He was sentenced to five years imprisonment. He is currently incarcerated at the McNaughton Correctional Center.

I must give the case prompt initial consideration pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, which reads:

If it plainly appears from the face of the petition and any exhibits annexed to it that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court, the judge shall make an order for its summary dismissal and cause the petitioner to be notified. Otherwise the judge shall order the respondent to file an answer.

Rule 4, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases. During my initial review of habeas petitions, I look to see whether the petitioner has set forth cognizable constitutional or federal law claims and exhausted available state remedies.

According to Rieth, his trial counsel was ineffective for failing to alert the court of the existence of a sleeping juror or to move for a mistrial after being informed that at least one of the jurors slept through a substantial portion of the testimony. Because of the existence of a sleeping juror, Rieth was deprived his right to "a trial by an alert, awake and aware jury." Ineffective assistance of counsel is clearly a constitutional ground for habeas relief under *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984).

Regarding exhaustion, an application for writ of habeas corpus from a person in state custody shall not be granted unless it appears that (a) the applicant has exhausted state remedies, or (b) there is no available state corrective process or circumstances exist that render such process ineffective to protect the applicant's rights. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). To exhaust a claim, the petitioner must provide the state courts with a full and fair opportunity to review his claims. *O'Sullivan v. Boerckel*, 526 U.S. 838, 845 (1999). A specific claim is not considered exhausted if the petitioner "has the right under the law of the State to raise, by any available procedure, the question presented." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(c). This requires the petitioner to appeal adverse state court decisions all the way to the state supreme court when doing so is part of the ordinary appellate review procedure in that state. *O'Sullivan*, 526 U.S. at 847. The doctrine of exhaustion allows state courts the "initial opportunity to pass on and correct alleged violations of its prisoners' federal rights." *Wilwording v. Swenson*, 404 U.S. 249, 250 (1971) (internal quotation marks omitted).

A petition for writ of habeas corpus should be dismissed if state remedies have not been exhausted as to any one of the petitioner's federal claims. *Rose v. Lundy*, 455 U.S. 509 (1982); *Cruz v. Warden of Dwight Correctional Ctr.*, 907 F.2d 665, 667 (7th Cir. 1990).

Based upon my review of this case, it appears that Rieth has fully exhausted his state court remedies. Rieth appealed his conviction to both the Court of Appeals and Supreme Court for the state of Wisconsin on grounds of ineffective assistance of counsel.

Because it appears that Rieth has exhausted his claims, he may proceed at this time. This determination, however, is not conclusive. Should it appear at any time that Rieth did not properly exhaust his state court remedies, the government may move for dismissal on exhaustion grounds.

**THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** that within 30 days of the date of this order respondent answer the petition, complying with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, and show cause, if any, why the writ should not issue.

**FURTHER, IT IS ORDERED** that, unless respondent files a dispositive motion with its answer, the parties shall abide by the following schedule regarding the filing of briefs on the merits of petitioner's claims: (1) petitioner shall have 45 days following the filing of respondent's answer within which to file his brief in support of his petition; (2) respondent shall have 45 days following the filing of petitioner's initial brief within which to file a brief in opposition; and (3) petitioner shall have 30 days following the filing of respondent's opposition brief within which to file a reply brief, if any.

If respondent files a dispositive motion and supporting brief with its answer, this briefing schedule will be suspended and the briefing schedule will instead be as follows: (1) petitioner shall have 30 days following the filing of respondent's dispositive motion and supporting initial brief within which to file a brief in opposition; and (2) respondent shall have 15 days following the filing of petitioner's opposition brief within which to file a reply brief, if any.

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7.1(f), the following page limitations apply: briefs in support of or

in opposition to the habeas petition or a dispositive motion filed by respondent must not exceed

thirty pages and reply briefs must not exceed fifteen pages, not counting any statements of facts,

exhibits, and affidavits.

Petitioner is advised that he must send copies of all future filings with the court to counsel

for respondent. Until respondent files his or her answer, these copies should be sent to Gregory

Weber at the address below.

Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, copies of the petition and this

order will be mailed to respondent and to the Attorney General for the State of Wisconsin, c/o

Gregory M. Weber, Assistant Attorney General, P.O. Box 7857, Madison, WI 53707.

This district's form regarding magistrate judge jurisdiction should be included with copies

of this order and returned by the parties as directed on that form.

Dated this 13th day of May, 2005.

s/ William C. Griesbach

William C. Griesbach

United States District Judge

4